

Uwe Kranz (Common postal address)
Gartenstraße 11
94130

MarianneGrimmenstein-Balas
Corneliusstraße 11
Oberzell58511 Lüdenscheid

Oberzell/Lüdenscheid, 22.07.2023

To the
Office of the Attorney General at the Federal Supreme Court
Brauerstr. 30

76135 Karlsruhe

Criminal complaint

for high treason against the German people according to §81 paragraph 1 and §83 paragraph 1, for violation of the guarantor status according to §13 paragraph 1 of the Criminal Code and for violation of §7 paragraph 1 no. 2 of the International Criminal Code "crimes against humanity" and (global) genocide according to §6 paragraph 1 no. 3 of the International Criminal Code as well as all possible offences

against the parliamentary group leaders of the "traffic light coalition" and the federal government, in particular

against

1. Dr Rolf Heinrich Mützenich, Chairman of the SPD Parliamentary Group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

2. Ms Katharina Dröge, Chairwoman of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen parliamentary group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

3. Ms Britta Haßelmann, Chairwoman of the Bündnis 90/Die Grünen parliamentary group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

4. Mr Christian Dürr, Chairman of the FDP Parliamentary Group, German Bundestag, Platz der Republik 1, 11011 Berlin

5. Mr Olaf Scholz, Federal Chancellor, Willy-Brandt-Str. 1, 10557 Berlin

6. Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach, Federal Minister of Health, Friedrichstr. 108, 10117 Berlin and all other members of the Federal Government.

Justification:

In the opinion of the above-mentioned complainants¹, the above-mentioned persons are liable to prosecution for failure to act / violation of the guarantor status and or criminal action with regard to the following criminal offences:

¹ **Gender note:** For better readability, the generic masculine is used in this criminal complaint. The designations of

persons used in this criminal complaint refer to all genders, unless otherwise indicated.

§81 para. 1 StGB High Treason against the Federation

- (1) Any person who undertakes, by force or threat of force, to
1. impair the existence of the Federal Republic of Germany or
 2. to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany shall be punished by life imprisonment or by imprisonment for not less than ten years.

Anyone who undertakes to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany by force or by threat of force shall be punished by life imprisonment or by imprisonment for not less than 10 years.

§ Section 83 (1) StGB Preparation of a highly treasonable enterprise

- (1) Any person who prepares a certain highly treasonable enterprise against the Federation shall be punished by imprisonment for a term of one year to ten years, and in less serious cases by imprisonment for a term of one year to five years.

§ Section 13 (1) StGB Committing by omission

- (1) Any person who fails to avert a result that is part of the offence of a criminal law shall only be liable to prosecution under that law if he is legally responsible for the result not occurring and if the failure corresponds to the realisation of the statutory offence by an act.

§ Section 7 (1) no. 2 VStGB Crimes against humanity:

The offence under section 7 of the VStGB presupposes that

- there is a systematic attack against the civilian population 1....
- 2. with the intention of destroying all or part of a population, places it or parts of it in living conditions likely to bring about its destruction in whole or in part

§ Section 6 para. 1 no. 3 VStGB Genocide

- (1) Whoever, with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, racial, religious or ethnic group as such,
3. places the group in living conditions likely to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part,

These constituent elements are realised by the inactivity of the above-mentioned persons of the Bundestag and the Federal Government in detail as follows:

I. Background

The background includes the numerous recommendations made by the WHO in recent years, which have proven harmful over time and which the government has not ended despite this realisation. During this period, the 194 members of the *World Health Organization* (WHO) agreed on 1 December 2021 to **begin the process of drafting and negotiating a convention, agreement or other international instrument** under the World Health Organization's Constitution to strengthen pandemic prevention, preparedness and response (International Pandemic **Convention** CA+) and amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR). The two drafts are to be submitted to the WHO Director-General ready for signature by January 2024 and adopted at the 77th session of the World Health Assembly in 2024.

The WHO International Pandemic Accord (CA+) needs a two-thirds majority to be adopted. The amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) can be adopted by a simple majority. The IHR is subject to a 10-month consultation period after adoption. The International

WHO pandemic treaty (CA+) only needs the ratification of 30 states out of the 194 member states to enter into force. The two conventions will then be binding under international law. Preliminary versions of both conventions are already available. The current draft of the international pandemic treaty (CA+) of 2 June 2023 (see https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf) commits the signatory states to:

- Strengthening the central role of WHO as a guiding and coordinating authority (Art.3 CA+),
- Facilitate rapid WHO access to outbreak areas, including through the deployment of expert teams to assess and support the response to emerging outbreaks, (Art. 15 CA+).
- Strengthen capacity to build and maintain strategic stocks of pandemic preparedness products (Art. 7 CA+)
- Provision of stocks, raw materials and other necessary inputs for the sustainable production of pandemic products (especially active pharmaceutical ingredients), including for stockpiling (Art. 13 CA+)
- Incentives (money) for pharmaceutical companies in connection with everything possible around the development, production, production capacities, distribution and stockpiling of their products (Art. 3, 9, 12 CA+).
- Cooperation with the private sector (e.g. pharmaceutical companies) and civil society (e.g. various foundations) in all possible variations (Art. 6, 11, 16, 19 CA+)
- Compensation for vaccine injured persons only in a limited period (Art. 10 CA+)
- the WHO Director-General may declare regional or global health emergencies on his or her own authority and without the consent of affected governments (Art. 15 CA+),
- Manage "infodemias" through appropriate channels, including social media, counter misinformation and disinformation (Art. 18 CA+).
- With the "One Health Approach", which would finally be adopted under international law with the CA+, all these instruments would then also apply to livestock, wild and domestic animals and to the plant world. This meant compulsory vaccination with mRNA in stables, pastures and enclosures, otherwise the culling of hundreds of thousands of animals. Indeed, by signing the WHO documents, signatory states recognise that "most emerging infectious diseases originate in animals, including wild and domesticated animals, and then spread to humans". (Art. 4 and 5 CA+)

The current draft of the pandemic treaty of 2 June 2023 contains only alternative formulations on controversial points. Compared to the "conceptual zero draft" of November 2022, it contains substantial deteriorations, especially with regard to gain-of-function research (viruses from the laboratory) and civil liberties. The principle of human rights and freedom with the right to health has been subsumed instead of the obligation to promote freedom (Art. 2 CA+). Possible restrictions are already indicated in this draft. The regulation limiting killer virus research is defused in comparison with the zero draft and only good intentions are required (Art. 9 CA+). Reservation clauses are not provided for in the pandemic treaty.

The envisaged changes to the IHR reform are similar to the pandemic treaty (see https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf). The IHR are binding. These reform proposals provide for:

- Respect for the **dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms** of persons has been deleted (Art. 3 IHR)
- Deletion of the predicate **non-binding** in the WHO recommendations (Art. 1, 42 IHR)
- Governments should ensure **compliance with WHO provisions** (so far only non-binding recommendations) by non-state actors (Art. 53 IHR)
- binding reviews of compliance with recommendations/regulations by the WHO Emergency Committee (Art. 48 IHR)
- Scope of the IHR to be extended to "all risks that may have an impact on public health" (Art. 2 IHR)

- Extension of the IHR to all potential, regional and temporary targets and other diseases whose human-to-human spread cannot be excluded (Art. 12 IHR)
- The possibility is opened for health documents to contain information on laboratory tests, in general, not only during health emergencies (Art. 23, Annex 6 IHR)
- The WHO Director-General may, on his or her own authority and without the consent of affected governments, declare a regional or global health emergency, even in the case of a potential emergency (Art. 12 IHR)
- The WHO Director-General is given leverage to send teams of experts to affected countries and to enforce their recommendations (Art. 15 IHR)
- It is possible after proclamation of PHEIC: implementation of contact tracing, border closure, travel restrictions, lockdown, forced quarantine, entry ban, flight ban, forced vaccination, vaccination certificate/ID, forced medication (Art. 18, 23, Annex 6 IHR).

II. High treason against the Federation according to §81 para. 1 StGB and §83 para. 1 StGB

On 9 May 2023, the traffic light factions led by the Federal Government introduced a motion for a resolution in favour of the WHO in the Bundestag to have the Bundestag vote on whether sovereignty and freedom rights of the Federal Republic of Germany should be surrendered to the WHO in the name of protecting health. The Bundestag approved the resolution on 12 May 2023. This means that the representative of the Federal Government at the 77th WHO Conference in May 2024 can approve the amendments to the International Health Regulations and the adoption of the new International Pandemic Contract (CA+) without further involvement of the Bundestag. The International Pandemic Treaty (CA+) must then be ratified by the Bundestag.

By presenting the motion for a resolution of 9 May 2023 without informing the MPs about the unconstitutionality of the two treaties, although the provisional version of the two treaties was already available before the vote, and by giving the EU the mandate to negotiate the two treaties without informing the Bundestag about it and thus obtaining the Bundestag's consent for it, the four leaders of the Ampel parliamentary groups, Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr have attempted to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany. The Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the Federal Government are jointly responsible for this by virtue of their guarantor status. The federal government and the leaders of the traffic light parliamentary groups thus prove that they not only disregard parliamentary decision-making by the elected representatives of the people, but also federalism and the applicable principle of subsidiarity.

Proof

1. Transfer of sovereignty

a) In the resolution, the leaders of the traffic light groups call for "sustainable strengthening of the World Health Organisation (WHO)" through reforms to strengthen its "governance, efficiency, independence, capacity, accountability. The "enforcement of rules" is also to be advanced so that the WHO can play its "leading role in global health policy".

It goes on to say: "It is necessary that the two processes for international regulation of pandemic management take into account and strengthen the leading role of WHO in pandemic prevention, preparedness and response. Thus, the ongoing negotiations on a pandemic agreement or instrument within the WHO framework and the reform of the International Health Regulations (IHR) could help to improve WHO's assertiveness as well as independence in the event of a health crisis." (see <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/067/2006712.pdf>).

The WHO is a democratically non-legitimised organisation. It draws 80 per cent of its budget from earmarked donations, according to the financial report of 24 April 2023 (see https://apps.who.int/gb/ebw/wha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf). The WHO represents through its

Main donors, which according to the financial report of 24 April 2023 are mainly NGOs, foundations and corporations, private interests, because the donors can determine, according to the WHO constitution, what the donated amount should be used for.

s. Article 57 of the WHO Constitution

"The Health Assembly or on its behalf the Council may receive and administer gifts and bequests to the Organisation provided that the conditions attached to such gifts or bequests appear to the Health Assembly or Council to be acceptable and consistent with the objectives and policies of the Organisation."

"The WHO belongs to pharmaceutical companies: Corrupt to the bone" was already announced by Transparency International in 2014. According to Transparency, the WHO is underfunded, ineffective and corrupt. The WHO's poor condition is not only due to mismanagement at the highest level, but also to its close ties to the pharmaceutical lobby.

s. <https://www.transparency.de/aktuelles/detail/article/who-gehoert-pharmakonzernen-korrupt-bis-in-the-bones>

According to the WHO financial report for 2022, Hoffmann-La Roche, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, Merck, Sanofi-Aventis, the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world, and the largest philanthropic foundations such as Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation are among the sponsors.

s. https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf

The Frankfurter Rundschau already stated in 2018 that the WHO has long since lost its independence. The WHO was driven into the arms of the pharmaceutical industry and philanthropic foundations by the far too low compulsory contributions of the member countries (see <https://www.fr.de/panorama/vorsicht-10962409.html>). "Since then, lucrative drug and vaccination programmes have been promoted by the WHO; there is no longer any talk of basic health systems. The WHO is thus making policy for its donors." - proclaimed the Frankfurter Rundschau quite openly. This state of affairs at the WHO has not changed, because the number of donors has not decreased (see WHO financial report for the year 2022: https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA76/A76_INF2-en.pdf).

Currently, the WHO is led by Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus. He is an Ethiopian biologist, immunologist and politician suspected of committing genocide in his own country. Human Rights Watch and Amnesty reports for 2005-2016 show that the government Tedros belonged to either committed shocking crimes in Ethiopia or ignored them.

s. https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/world_report_download/wr2016_web.pdf and <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/pol10/4800/2017/en/>

Under the leadership of Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, it was recently made possible for North Korea to become a member of the WHO Executive Board. The International Health Regulations (IHR) Reform Working Group is chaired by Dr Abdullah Assiri of Saudi Arabia. During the last phase of the WHO meeting, he literally said that it is about "prioritising measures" that "can restrict individual freedoms" (see from min 14, quote from min 17:20 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TL13ZObwesk>). Saudi Arabia and North Korea, two states that violate human rights, suddenly care about the health of the German population.

The planned treaties would transfer sovereign rights to the WHO without any democratic control and legitimacy. In future, the World Health Organisation would be able to determine when a pandemic of international proportions should be declared, even if there is already a potential danger. The WHO can then also impose the implementation of prescribed measures on all member countries (currently 194). According to the current version of the two conventions, the IHR and the International Pandemic Treaty, countries should be obliged to implement all measures ordered by the WHO.

implement. The WHO should even be given enforcement mechanisms as well. These measures could globally include lockdowns, quarantines, travel restrictions, vaccination requirements, enforcement of digital vaccination cards and assembly bans.

The motion for a resolution of the "Ampel" parliamentary groups of 9 May 2023 was presented to the members of the German Bundestag without any legal opinion on the already existing versions of the planned conventions, although fundamental sovereign rights are transferred to the WHO by the two conventions according to the current version. According to the current version of the two conventions, the Federal Republic of Germany would lose its freedom of action and thus its sovereignty, because the two conventions are even to become binding under international law. The motion for a resolution is actually an intended change of identity. It basically means the intended elimination of the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany according to the Basic Law, because the German people were not asked beforehand whether they wanted to replace the Basic Law and thus the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany in favour of the WHO.

In the motion for a resolution tabled by the traffic light parliamentary groups, the MPs were not informed of the fact that the Federal Government intends to transfer the negotiating mandate for the two treaties to the EU Commission. The Federal Government did this without the consent of the Bundestag and it did not even inform the MPs about it. The Bundestag did not approve this transfer of the mandate from the Federal Government to the EU Commission. In May 2023, the Member of the Bundestag, Dr. Rainer Rothfuß, submitted a written question under working number 5/626 to the Federal Ministry of Health. The question was which complete proposals for amendment or rewording of the sub-articles or, if applicable, of an entire article of the currently discussed amendments to the "International Health Regulations" of the WHO in the latest version of the compiled proposals were proposed by the Federal Government. It was only through the Federal Government's answer to this question that the Members of the Bundestag learned that the Federal Government had already transferred the negotiating mandate for the two treaties to the EU Commission on behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany.

s. https://www.epochtimes.de/assets/uploads/2023/07/2023-06-19_Answer_Dittmar_EU_BRD_WHO_WGIHR.pdf

The federal government thus proves that it not only disregards parliamentary decision-making by the elected representatives of the people, but also federalism and the principle of subsidiarity. This is not only a complete disregard for the constitutional order, but a possible criminal offence to eliminate the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany.

In the current version of the International Health Regulations (IHR), the core democratic principles of "**dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms**" of the Basic Law have been deleted. **This deletion affects Articles 1 and 20 of the Basic Law, which are subject to the Basic Law's guarantee of eternity.** Article 12 of the amended IHR even stipulates that the WHO Director would in future have sole decision-making power, **even in the case of potential danger, in** declaring a pandemic. According to Article 53A of the IHR, the member states would have to set up an implementation committee to monitor the implementation of the measures.

s. https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

These facts were not even mentioned in the resolution for the MPs, although the current version of the amended IHR was already in place. All the unconformities of the conventions with the Basic Law were concealed from the MPs. From the sovereignty of the Federal Republic circumscribed by the GG and from the principle of democracy, the German state organs are "only" bound by the constitution and the democratically adopted laws. The GG establishes the Federal Republic as an independent state, i.e. **with no one** above it. The decision-making power would be transferred to the non-democratically legitimised WHO with the approval of the two treaties. This would also be a complete elimination of federalism and the subsidiarity principle currently in force.

Members of parliament and a federal government that advocate strengthening the WHO without dealing with the currently planned regulations (International Health Regulations/Pandemic Treaty) are NOT standing on the ground of the Basic Law. For they are subjecting our country to a non-democratically legitimised institution, such as the WHO is, with - if the current plans are realised - uncontrolled powers. The constituted state was released by the Bundestag's adoption of the motion for a resolution by the traffic light parliamentary groups.

From this, the realisation of the criminal offence of "high treason against the federal government" by the four leaders of the traffic light parliamentary groups can be seen. The Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the federal government are jointly responsible for this by virtue of their guarantor status.

b) On 09. 05. 2023, the parliamentary group DIE LINKE submitted a small question to the Federal Government. The question was about the state of cooperation between the federal government and private foundations. They justified their question by saying that numerous super-rich people set up their own "philanthropic" foundations. Through these foundations, they not only play a growing role in financing development, but they also influence the formulation of development policies and their implementation at the national level. In their question, they point to the world's largest private foundation, "Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation", as it has become one of the most influential players in shaping international health and agriculture policies.

The parliamentary group asks 24 questions to the government. Question 4 reads: "To what extent does the Federal Government take a critical view of the growing influence of private foundations on national and global public opinion-forming and decision-making, also and in particular taking into account and safeguarding Western values such as democracy?"

s. <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/068/2006836.pdf>

In its response of 30.06.2023, the Federal Government confirms that the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development explicitly emphasises that the active involvement of private actors is indispensable for achieving the global sustainability goals (cf. inter alia SDG 17). "The mandate for appropriate cooperation with these actors is also derived from this...In this respect, the Federal Government strives to also involve social actors such as private foundations in the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals in the sense of broad participation and sharing." Furthermore, it confirms that the members of the Federal Government, Parliamentary State Secretaries and Ministers of State and State Secretaries maintain contacts with a large number of actors from all social groups in the course of the performance of their duties during each legislative period and that **the content of discussions is not recorded.**

Referring to the WHO, the vaccine alliance Gavi or the vaccine development agency CEVI, and the impact of the "far-reaching decisions made there for global public services", the Left Party wants to know to what extent the traffic light is committed to pushing back the influence of such organisations. The answer: **"The federal government does not see any need to push back the influence of private foundations in general.**

It seems that the federal government no longer respects the basic democratic order of the Basic Law. The parliamentary will formation by the elected representatives of the people is completely disregarded by the federal government, because the MPs are not even informed about the processes. This time, the federal government openly admits that it does not hold the reins of action in its own hands and disregards the rule of representative democracy.

s. <https://dserver.bundestag.de/btd/20/075/2007512.pdf>

According to the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany, all power emanates from the people (see Art. 20 para. 2 sentence 1 GG) and not from various foundations or NGOs. Since the Federal Government completely ignores the parliamentary will formation by the elected representatives of the people, the cooperation with the foundations

The fact that the proceedings are not transparent, that the contents of the talks are not recorded at all and that the MPs are not informed about the proceedings at all, shows that the members of the federal government have committed the criminal offence of "high treason against the federal government". The federal government seems to intend to change the constitutional order based on the Basic Law of the Federal Republic of Germany.

2. Censorship measures for dissent

The restriction of freedom of the press and freedom of expression and the worldwide standardisation of censorship measures for dissenting opinions would also be among the obligatory measures of the two treaties. The new conventions in their current form would even give the WHO extensive powers to order censorship (see Art. 18 CA+, Art. 44 and Annex 1 page 36 IHR). The WHO presumes to define what is truth. The World Health Organisation (WHO), which is predominantly funded by large corporations and their foundations, even describes on its web page how it already controls and manipulates social media to ensure that only its version of science and truth is disseminated. The WHO even boasts on its web page "Combating misinformation online" (see <https://www.who.int/teams/digital-health-and-innovation/digital-channels/combating-misinformation-online>) that a large number of videos and other content were censored through its involvement during the last pandemic. Under the heading "Changing social media policy and guidelines" one reads on this page:

"WHO is working with the policy departments of social media companies to ensure that company policies and guidelines for content providers are fit for purpose. For example, WHO has worked with YouTube to improve their policy on COVID-19 misinformation and to create guidelines for content providers to ensure that medical disinformation related to the virus is not disseminated on their platform. Updates to these policies have resulted in the removal of **850,000** YouTube videos containing harmful or misleading information about COVID-19 from February 2020 to January 2021."

In order to continue censoring worldwide, WHO issued a call for applications for organisations "that would like to partner with WHO in developing and organising a workshop to train fact checker trainers to strengthen the voice of science and prevent misinformation." Applications were open from 21 November to 16 December 2022 (see <https://www.who.int/news-room/articles-detail/call-for-expression-of-interest-for-suppliers>).

The representative of the German government has agreed to the resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health" (see https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB152/B152_CONF6-en.pdf) at the 76th session of the World Health Assembly. The **resolution also advocates censorship measures for dissenting opinions**. Nothing can be found in the resolution on respect for human free will or on the limits of what science can say with sufficient certainty to allow dissenting theories and assessments to be classified as disinformation and combated.

Freedom of expression and reporting are among the fundamental rights. They are the cornerstone of the basic democratic order according to the Basic Law. In 1966, the Federal Constitutional Court still sees it this way: "A free press, not directed by public authority and not subject to censorship, is an essential element of the free state; in particular, a free, regularly published political press is indispensable for modern democracy. If citizens are to make political decisions, they must be fully informed, but they must also be able to know and weigh up the opinions that others have formed. The press keeps this constant discussion going". (Federal Constitutional Court: Spiegel ruling 1966, BVerfGE 20, 162). Anyone who wants to place the Federal Republic of Germany under such rules, which prevent the free expression of opinion, is clearly making an attempt to eliminate the basic democratic order according to the Basic Law. The representative of the

The Federal Government should not have agreed to the resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health", because the provisions of this resolution already lead to the elimination of the free democratic basic order of the Federal Republic of Germany.

Since the basic human rights, the right to freedom of expression and reporting according to the Basic Law and the Universal Human Rights for the entire population were withdrawn during the last pandemic under the leadership of the WHO and also permitted by the current federal government, and further future restriction of expression received the approval of the representative of the current federal government during the 76th session of the World Health Assembly in May 2023. If we consider that the 76th session of the World Health Assembly in May 2023 (see resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health") received the approval of the representative of the current Federal Government during the 76th session of the World Health Assembly in May 2023 (see resolution "Behavioural sciences for better health"), the realisation of the criminal offence of "high treason against the Federal Government" by the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the Federal Government can be seen from all of the above.

II. crimes against humanity § 7 para. 1 no. 2 VStGB and genocide § 6 para. 1 no. 3 VStGB

With the resolution of 9 May 2023, the four parliamentary group leaders, Dr Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr Prof. Karl Lauterbach and other members of the Federal Government have created conditions whereby the population can be destroyed in whole or in part, this or parts of it can be placed under such living conditions that are suitable to bring about the destruction in whole or in part.

Proof

1. Disregard for children's rights

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, children's rights have been disregarded. At the public meeting of the Children's Commission of the German Bundestag (Kiko) on 9 September 2020, Prof. Dr Michael Klundt, Professor of Children's Policy at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences, confirmed:

QUOTE: "Thus, elementary protection and participation rights of approx. 13 MILLION children and young people have been demonstrably violated. Practically all decisions and measures taken by politicians since March / April have thus been made IN VIOLATION OF PEOPLE'S RIGHTS and IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW without giving priority to the best interests of the child."

According to the final report of the Interministerial Working Group on the Health Effects of Corona on Children and Adolescents of 8 February 2023, the consequences of the pandemic (including school and kindergarten closures, wearing masks, etc.) on children and adolescents continue to this day. Currently, 73 % are still psychologically burdened.

s.https://www.bundesgesundheitsministerium.de/fileadmin/Dateien/3_Downloads/K/Kindergesundheit/Final_Report_IMA_Child_Health.pdf

The current federal government has also not immediately put an end to the damage to the young generation. By allowing the two agreements with the WHO to be approved, further damage to the already damaged young generation is allowed. The WHO made several harmful recommendations during the last pandemic. Since the two agreements do not even take into account the special protection of children, youth and unborn life, the young generation is left defenceless at the mercy of the WHO, a democratically non-legitimised and democratically non-controlled organisation that mainly represents the interests of its donors.

The two treaties, as currently drafted, allow the WHO to compulsorily mandate medication and vaccination for all people. Under the two treaties, the WHO will more quickly authorise vaccines and medicines that are likely to be administered recklessly, including to children, adolescents and pregnant women. This was already practised during the last pandemic. Since, according to the two treaties, the Federal Republic of Germany will lose its freedom to act during a pandemic

by IHR and between pandemics by the International Pandemic Convention, it would also no longer be able to oppose measures that are harmful to children and young people.

With the motion for a resolution, the four parliamentary group leaders, Dr Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann, Christian Dürr, have created the possibility of placing children, youth and unborn life under such living conditions, which are suitable to bring about their physical destruction in whole or in part, through the always possible harmful measures of the WHO. Through the guarantor position, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health, Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government are jointly responsible for this.

From this, the offence of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be identified.

2. Disregard for the right to integrity

a) The Corona Council of Experts of the Federal Government met from December 2021 to April 2023. After a year-long legal battle with the Federal Chancellery, the doctor Christian Haffner successfully obtained the minutes of the so-called Corona Expert Council of the Federal Government on the basis of the Freedom of Information Act. The panel had met from December 2021 to April 2023 under the condition of utmost confidentiality. The expert council had urged the federal government both to make vaccination generally compulsory and to continue with Corona measures in autumn 2022 - even though the panel was actually aware that vaccination does not protect against transmission. Despite this fact, the facility-based mandatory vaccination in the health sector was maintained until the expiry of the regulation, 31 December 2023. Soldiers still have to be vaccinated against Corona, despite the numerous side effects of the vaccination that have become known.

Remarkably, as with the other expert panels of the German government, not a single epidemiologist was present. Moreover, 10 of the 19 members of the expert panel work for institutes that have received direct funding from the Gates Foundation.

s. <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/about/committed-grants>

The explosive documents of the Corona Council of Experts give a shocking insight into the closed world view of "experts" who are willing to put their scientific ideology above the welfare of the citizens. This could happen under the leadership of the current federal government.

s. <https://my.hidrive.com/lmk/UbST4YWv#file>

The handling of the past pandemic by the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the Federal Government reveals the criminal offence of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide".

b) Digital health certificates as a permanent requirement for international travel have already been decided by the heads of government of the 20 economically strongest countries (G20) at their 2022 summit in Bali. According to the Bali Declaration of the G20 Heads of State and Government - adopted at their meeting on 15 and 16 November 2022 - digital vaccination certificates are to be used internationally and become a permanent requirement for international travel in order to enforce restrictions on freedom of movement and travel. The German government has also agreed to the Bali Declaration, thereby committing to **support this project without the approval of the Bundestag.**

see point 23 in the BALI declaration

<https://www.bundesregierung.de/resource/blob/975254/2143372/c32dd4674a573a180c1ecc615729ac75/2022-11-16-declaration-g20-deu-data.pdf?download=1>

On 5 June 2023, the WHO has already announced that it will adopt the EU's digital infrastructure as a permanent model for global travel. The introduction of global health certificates in digital or

Paper form shall be provided under Art. 18, 23, 24,27, 28, 35, 36, Annexes 6 and 8 IHR as amended on 15 November 2022 is presented in detail.

s. https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

The WHO's plan to permanently introduce digital health and vaccination certificates, which also means compulsory vaccination, completely disregards the population's elementary right to bodily integrity, because no one may be subjected to medical or scientific experiments without voluntary consent. As the practice with the conditionally approved COVID-19 vaccines has already shown, the population is exposed to endless risks and discrimination, since the WHO is prepared to bring pharmacological products, mainly vaccines, with an extremely shortened approval procedure (see Annex 6 IHR, Art. 14 Pandemic Treaty of 2 June 2023) onto the market in the event of a pandemic and to prescribe them as compulsory, without taking into account the countless possible vaccination damages, which it also listed itself during the last pandemic.

By agreeing to the Bali Declaration, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government and the four parliamentary group leaders, Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann, Christian Dürr endorse with the resolution the WHO's plan to permanently introduce digital health and vaccination certificates, which can mean compulsory vaccination even with vaccines approved at short notice. In doing so, they tolerate such measures, which are likely to bring about the physical destruction of the population in whole or in part, because jurists have already found serious deficiencies in the approval of the novel mRNA preparations against Covid-19.

s. <https://web.archive.org/web/20230210142911/https://www.berliner-zeitung.de/politik-society/the-registration-disaster-lobbying-and-breach-of-rights-in-the-case-of-the-mrna-prepare- li.314750>

According to Article 53A of the amended International Health Regulations (IHR), the member states would even have to set up an implementation committee to monitor the implementation of the measures prescribed by the WHO. According to Article 36 of the IHR, travel permits for people would only be issued with vaccination certificates that are as digital as possible. These facts make compulsory vaccination possible.

s. https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

Since the German Chancellor Olaf Scholz has already agreed to the introduction of the health certificate at the G-20 meeting, she has thereby also agreed to compulsory vaccination with conditionally approved preparations that can be prescribed by the WHO. These measures would place the population under such conditions that are likely to bring about its destruction in whole or in part. This would constitute "crimes against humanity" and "genocide". The Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Prof. Dr. Karl Lauterbach and the other members of the Federal Government and the four parliamentary party leaders of the Ampel parliamentary groups bear responsibility for this

e) The IHR and the International Pandemic Contract (CA+) in the current version do not prohibit the further pursuit of so-called "gain-of-function" research. With the motion for a resolution, the four parliamentary group leaders Dr Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the federal government condone the further pursuit of so-called "gain-of-function" research. They are not raising their voices against it at all.

Since absolutely safe laboratories do not exist, the four parliamentary group leaders Dr. Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr. Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government, through the guarantor position, should have already set the condition to the WHO with the motion for a resolution that the WHO finally fulfil its task here and fulfil its obligations towards the world population.

must. In order to prevent further pandemics, they should have obliged the WHO to immediately start negotiations with the operating states to close these laboratories. The members of the federal government and also the four leaders of the traffic light parliamentary groups did not support the closure of the highly dangerous laboratories at all.

Since the four parliamentary group leaders Dr Rolf Mützenich, Katharina Dröge, Britta Haßelmann and Christian Dürr, the Federal Chancellor Olaf Scholz, the Federal Minister of Health Dr Prof. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the Federal Government have not raised any objections to the further pursuit of so-called "gain-of-function" research and have thus expressed their continued tolerance. Karl Lauterbach, and other members of the federal government have not raised any objections to the further pursuit of so-called "gain-of-function" research and have thus expressed their continued toleration, this could already be interpreted as an intention to continue to maintain conditions that are suitable to bring about the physical destruction of the population in whole or in part. The offences of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be identified from this.

Urgent action is required to prevent the Federal Republic of Germany from losing its sovereignty and ability to act, and to ensure that the population is not placed under such living conditions that are likely to bring about its destruction in whole or in part.

It is requested to start investigative activities and inform us of the outcome of the investigation.

Uwe Kranz

Marianne Grimmenstein-Balas